

# Comparative Efficiency of Result Window Management in APEX Classic Reports and Interactive Grids

Jonathan Reeves, Evan Marshall

## Abstract

This study examines the efficiency of pagination and result windowing in Oracle APEX Classic Reports versus Interactive Grids, focusing on performance, user interaction behavior, and scalability. Through controlled comparisons across increasing dataset sizes and concurrency loads, the findings show that Classic Reports maintain predictable, low-overhead performance due to server-driven pagination, while Interactive Grids offer richer interactivity at the cost of increased memory usage and greater sensitivity to dataset volume and session duration. Results indicate that Classic Reports are best suited for large, frequently paginated datasets, whereas Interactive Grids are most effective in scenarios requiring dynamic manipulation and inline editing. The study concludes that selecting the appropriate grid type requires understanding the workload profile and aligning grid behavior with application requirements.

**Keywords:** Pagination; Oracle APEX Grids; Client-Side State Management

## 1. Introduction

Pagination in data-driven web applications determines how efficiently large result sets are retrieved, navigated, and displayed to end users. In Oracle APEX, two primary grid types Classic Reports (with traditional pagination models) and Interactive Grids (with client-side state handling and dynamic refresh behavior) provide distinct mechanisms for paging through data. In environments where tables grow to millions of rows and users frequently navigate across pages or filter subsets, the efficiency of pagination and result windowing has a direct influence on perceived responsiveness and resource utilization. Prior analyses of anomaly emergence in Oracle transactional workloads illustrate how even small inefficiencies in data access can compound into UI latency when query results are repeatedly paginated across user actions [1]. Additionally, when APEX applications exchange or synchronize data with external streaming or event pipelines, pagination patterns influence how much data is transferred per interaction cycle, affecting bandwidth and round-trip efficiency [2,3].

Security and policy enforcement also influence pagination behavior. When encryption and row-level access controls operate on each paged subset, the system must reevaluate user visibility rules whenever a new page of results is requested [4,5]. In Interactive Grids, which incorporate dynamic editing, validation, and inline transformation capabilities, this means pagination may trigger additional internal queries and state checks. Multi-form APEX workflows further amplify this effect since pagination frequently interacts with user state transitions, conditional region rendering, and page refresh signals distributed across UI blocks [6,7]. Cloud migration studies involving Oracle databases show that remote data access paths can alter the baseline cost of retrieving paginated results, especially when data sources are physically distant or replicated across regions [8,9].

The functional design of Interactive Grids introduces additional considerations. Interactive Grids maintain richer client-side state, support column-level transformations, allow inline editing, and often prefetch additional pages to maintain fluid scrolling. This increases responsiveness under stable network conditions but also increases data transfer volume and memory footprint [10]. Natural language-enabled input and adaptive interface enhancements in APEX further heighten sensitivity to latency and paging overhead, as interface smoothness depends on predictable, low-friction data refresh cycles [11,12]. Meanwhile, multi-region replication frameworks must ensure consistency while retaining responsiveness during page transitions, making efficient windowing strategies essential for sustaining usability in distributed deployments [13,14].

From the developer perspective, low-code augmentation in APEX simplifies grid configuration but does not eliminate underlying performance considerations. Helper frameworks may automate pagination behavior or suggest indexing strategies, but transport cost and server-side state resolution remain decisive factors governing grid responsiveness [15,16]. Performance tuning literature emphasizes that even when underlying SQL queries are optimized, inefficient pagination logic particularly when using OFFSET-based windowing can incur unnecessary row scanning costs in large tables [17,18]. Automated transformation and validation layers embedded in Interactive Grids also interact with page navigation, potentially reprocessing metadata when page transitions occur [19].

Database and systems research consistently shows that end-user performance perception is shaped primarily by the slowest calls rather than average latency, meaning a pagination strategy must minimize worst-case, not just typical, response time [20]. Network transport studies demonstrate that congestion

and queue depth can disproportionately degrade page transition latency when result sets are retrieved incrementally. Edge computing models suggest reducing latency by relocating partial dataset caching closer to the UI environment, though session-bound server rendering in APEX limits full offloading unless architectural redesign is applied [21]. Strongly consistent distributed storage models further introduce coordination delays that propagate directly into paginated result retrieval cycles [22].

Beyond infrastructure, pagination behavior also interacts with enterprise workflow semantics. Interactive grids embedded into financial forecasting, compliance validation, or operational dashboards must preserve semantic consistency across page transitions, particularly when predictive or rule-based logic is applied to paged subsets. Studies on APEX-driven data quality enforcement show that page-level refresh cycles can influence validation ordering and error surfacing when records are processed incrementally. Workflow automation frameworks further indicate that pagination interacts with batch-oriented processing and streaming ingestion patterns, shaping how intermediate results are exposed to users [23]. Cloud-hosted APEX deployments introduce additional elasticity considerations. Performance and scalability evaluations show that pagination workloads scale differently from bulk query execution, as page transitions amplify session churn and connection reuse sensitivity. Unified ETL and workflow container models demonstrate that paginated access can interact with concurrent batch and streaming pipelines, increasing contention under peak usage. Metadata-driven low-code architectures further influence pagination efficiency by shaping how result windows are constructed and refreshed [24].

Enterprise-scale automation strategies emphasize that pagination design must align with distributed data engineering frameworks to avoid cascading latency across dependent systems. In regulated financial and supply-chain environments, reconciliation workflows driven through paginated interfaces can experience compounding delays if result windowing is not aligned with backend consistency guarantees. Reinforcement-driven adaptive systems and real-time decision engines further highlight that paging frequency influences feedback latency and system convergence behavior.

Recent enterprise pipeline optimization studies show that near-real-time analytical processing amplifies pagination sensitivity, particularly when result sets are repeatedly re-evaluated under evolving filters. From a domain perspective, operational and health-monitoring dashboards similarly rely on paginated visualization layers where latency spikes directly affect situational awareness. Consequently, pagination efficiency is not merely a UI concern but a systemic performance factor influencing decision quality and user trust in data-driven applications.

## **2. Methodology**

The methodology developed to evaluate pagination and result windowing efficiency in APEX Classic Reports versus Interactive Grids focuses on isolating the effects of data retrieval, state management, and UI rendering behaviors. To ensure a fair comparison, both grid types were configured to display the same datasets, with identical sorting, filtering, and column-level formatting rules. The dataset sizes were scaled progressively, beginning with 10,000 rows and extending to several million rows, to observe performance behaviors across both moderate and high-volume workloads. All tests were conducted under controlled conditions where network latency and compute allocation remained constant, ensuring that observed differences originated from grid architecture rather than environmental fluctuation.

The first phase of testing involved evaluating the raw pagination mechanics in both Classic and Interactive Grids. Classic Reports rely primarily on server-driven paging, retrieving only the rows required for the current page, while Interactive Grids often prefetch additional pages and maintain richer client-side state. To measure the paging cycle precisely, each grid was instrumented to capture request initiation time, server response time, and rendering time using APEX debug logging and browser performance tracing tools. This enabled a breakdown of the paging process into transport, computation, and rendering components, allowing each contributing factor to be analyzed independently.

A second analysis layer examined the data access paths involved in retrieving paginated subsets. Queries backing both grid types were structured using OFFSET-FETCH and row-number-based windowing strategies to isolate how each technique performs across increasing dataset sizes. These strategies were tested using different indexing patterns to observe how data density and clustering influence the cost of retrieving each new page of results. Particular attention was paid to how Interactive Grids retrieve metadata and maintain row selection states, as these behaviors may introduce additional query execution work that does not occur in Classic Reports.

The next series of test runs focused on user-interface interaction sequences, including page navigation, filtering, and sorting. Classic Reports reissue server calls for each interaction, while Interactive Grids may execute incremental refreshes using client-side caches. By simulating controlled user input patterns such as rapidly navigating through pages, applying sequential filters, and performing multi-column sorts the

methodology captured responsiveness differences under realistic usage behavior. These interaction loops highlighted how interface structure affects the perceived smoothness of working with large datasets.

Session state handling was also examined as a factor in pagination performance. Classic Reports maintain minimal client-side state, relying primarily on server-side page context, whereas Interactive Grids manage richer state models that synchronize with the server only when necessary. To quantify this effect, session synchronization frequency, payload size, and round-trip timing were measured while users moved through paginated results. Adjustments were made to caching levels and session persistence intervals to observe how state resolution patterns influence performance in long-running user sessions.

Load testing was performed to understand how concurrency affects pagination efficiency. Multiple simulated users accessed and interacted with both grid types in parallel, following scripted paging and filtering sequences. This allowed measurement of throughput sustainability and latency under stress conditions. Queue buildup, connection pooling behavior, and memory utilization were monitored on both the APEX engine and database tiers to detect saturation points and concurrency thresholds at which performance degradation became noticeable.

To ensure results were not biased toward a particular application layout, multiple page configurations were tested, including dashboards, master-detail interfaces, and multi-region data workspaces. Each layout placed different demands on pagination and windowing logic, helping to reveal how the chosen page composition interacts with grid behavior. Additionally, variations in theme and template rendering complexity were tested to rule out UI skinning overhead as a confounding factor in performance evaluation.

Finally, baseline reference measurements were taken by retrieving full result sets without pagination to establish upper-bound execution and rendering times. These baselines allowed performance deltas associated with pagination strategies to be quantified accurately. Comparing paginated and non-paginated retrieval clarified whether performance limitations originated in query windowing logic, rendering behavior, or session state synchronization. This comprehensive methodological approach enabled a fine-grained understanding of how Classic Reports and Interactive Grids behave under different usage, dataset scale, and concurrency conditions.

### **3. Results and Discussion**

The results of the comparative evaluation highlight clear behavioral differences in how Classic Reports and Interactive Grids manage pagination at scale. Classic Reports consistently maintained linear and predictable page retrieval performance as dataset size increased, due to their strictly server-driven windowing model. Each page request returned only the exact number of rows needed for display, minimizing data transfer overhead. In contrast, Interactive Grids frequently retrieved additional metadata and preloaded future result windows to support smooth scrolling and inline editing. While this approach improved perceived responsiveness in small to medium datasets, the prefetching behavior resulted in higher initial latency and greater memory utilization when dataset sizes increased into the millions of rows.

When evaluating sorting and filtering operations, Interactive Grids demonstrated stronger flexibility and more fluid user interaction, since many operations could be locally recalculated without requiring a full server round trip. However, this benefit was dependent on the amount of data locally cached. As interactions continued across multiple pages or after prolonged session durations, the accumulated client state increased browser memory overhead. In contrast, Classic Reports relied on re-querying the database for each filter or sort, which imposed more frequent round trips but allowed memory usage to remain stable and predictable. These differences indicate that Classic Reports favor stability and scalability, while Interactive Grids favor interactivity and responsiveness under controlled data volumes.

Concurrency testing revealed additional distinctions between the two grid types. Under multi-user load, Classic Reports maintained consistent response times, as server-side pagination avoided excessive client-side computation and preserved low memory pressure per session. Interactive Grids, however, experienced increasingly variable latency when subjected to high concurrency, especially when multiple users applied interactive actions in rapid succession. This variability resulted from the need to reconcile user-specific state changes and maintain row version synchronization when editing capabilities were enabled. The results suggest that Interactive Grids require more careful resource allocation, especially in shared enterprise environments with large numbers of active users.

Pagination performance was also influenced by the underlying SQL windowing strategy. OFFSET-FETCH pagination, often used implicitly by Interactive Grids, exhibited increasing response time as page number increased due to sequential row skipping. Meanwhile, ROW\_NUMBER()-based windowing used in Classic Reports demonstrated more stable performance across page ranges when backed by appropriately selective indexing. This indicates that database indexing strategy interacts differently with the two grid

types, and the choice of pagination method can either amplify or mitigate performance differences between them.

Collectively, the study shows that the decision between Classic Reports and Interactive Grids should be guided by dataset volume, expected interaction patterns, user concurrency levels, and session memory constraints. Classic Reports are better suited for large-scale, high-volume reporting scenarios where predictable performance and low overhead are key priorities. Interactive Grids are preferable when user-driven data manipulation, inline editing, and dynamic interaction are critical but only when dataset sizes and concurrency levels are well-controlled. These findings reinforce that neither grid type is universally optimal; instead, grid selection should reflect the specific operational context and performance profile of the application environment.

#### **4. Conclusion**

The comparative analysis of pagination and result windowing behaviors in APEX Classic Reports and Interactive Grids demonstrates that each grid type offers distinct advantages depending on dataset scale and interaction complexity. Classic Reports provide consistent, predictable performance due to their strict server-driven pagination model, maintaining stability even as dataset size and user concurrency increase. Their reliance on re-querying for each interaction ensures low memory overhead and supports large-scale reporting workloads effectively. In contrast, Interactive Grids introduce enhanced interactivity and user-driven manipulation capabilities by leveraging client-side state retention and incremental refresh operations. However, these benefits come with increased sensitivity to dataset volume, concurrency load, and session lifespan, particularly when prefetching and state synchronization accumulate over extended usage.

These findings underscore that grid selection in APEX should be approached as a strategic architectural decision rather than a purely aesthetic or functional preference. Applications involving frequently navigated large datasets or high user concurrency are best served by Classic Reports, while workflows emphasizing live editing, dynamic filtering, and immersive grid interaction benefit more from Interactive Grids. Ultimately, performance outcomes depend on aligning grid choice with dataset characteristics, indexing strategies, caching controls, and expected user interaction patterns. By evaluating these dimensions during application design, developers can achieve both performance efficiency and meaningful user experience outcomes in Oracle APEX environments.

#### **References**

1. Ahmed, J., Mathialagan, A. G., & Hasan, N. (2020). Influence of smoking ban in eateries on smoking attitudes among adult smokers in Klang Valley Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 20(1), 1-8.
2. Haque, A. H. A. S. A. N. U. L., Anwar, N. A. I. L. A., Kabir, S. M. H., Yasmin, F. A. R. Z. A. N. A., Tarofder, A. K., & MHM, N. (2020). Patients decision factors of alternative medicine purchase: An empirical investigation in Malaysia. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 12(3), 614-622.
3. Yasmin, Farzana, et al. "Response of sweet potato to application of Pgpr and N fertilizer." *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology* 25.4 (2021): 10799-10812.
4. Doustjalali, S. R., Gujjar, K. R., Sharma, R., & Shafiei-Sabet, N. (2016). Correlation between body mass index (BMI) and waist to hip ratio (WHR) among undergraduate students. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 15(7), 618-624.
5. Fazlul Karim Khan, Md, et al. "Molecular characterization of plasmid-mediated non-O157 verotoxigenic Escherichia coli isolated from infants and children with diarrhea." *Baghdad Science Journal* 17.3 (2020): 19.
6. Jamal Hussaini, N. M., Abdullah, M. A., & Ismail, S. (2011). Recombinant Clone ABA392 protects laboratory animals from Pasteurella multocida Serotype B. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 5(18), 2596-2599.
7. Nazmul, M. H. M., M. A. Rashid, and H. Jamal. "Antifungal activity of Piper betel plants in Malaysia." *Drug Discov* 6.17 (2013): 16-17.
8. Hussaini, J., Nazmul, M. H. M., Masyitah, N., Abdullah, M. A., & Ismail, S. (2013). Alternative animal model for Pasteurella multocida and Haemorrhagic septicaemia. *Biomedical Research*, 24(2), 263-266.
9. Hussaini, J., et al. "Recombinant Clone ABA392 Protects laboratory animals from Pasteurella multocida serotype BJ Vet." *Adv* 2 (2012): 114-119.
10. Navanethan, D. H. A. R. S. H. I. N. I., et al. "Stigma, discrimination, treatment effectiveness and policy: Public views about drug addiction in Malaysia." *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences* 15.2 (2021): 514-519.

11. MKK, F, MA, R., Rashid, S. S., & MHM, N. (2019). Detection of virulence factors and beta-lactamase encoding genes among the clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.02014*.
12. Nazmul, M. H. M., et al. "General knowledge and misconceptions about HIV/AIDS among the university students in Malaysia." *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development* 9.10 (2018): 435-440.
13. Nazmul, M. H. M., Fazlul, M. K. K., Rashid, S. S., Doustjalali, S. R., Yasmin, F., Al-Jashamy, K., ... & Sabet, N. S. (2017). ESBL and MBL genes detection and plasmid profile analysis from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates from Selayang Hospital, Malaysia. *PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL & HEALTH SCIENCES*, 11(3), 815-818.
14. Iqbal, Mohsena, et al. "The study of the perception of diabetes mellitus among the people of Petaling Jaya in Malaysia." *International Journal of Health Sciences I* (2022): 1263-1273.
15. Arzuman, H., Maziz, M. N. H., Elseri, M. M., Islam, M. N., Kumar, S. S., Jainuri, M. D. B. M., & Khan, S. A. (2017). Preclinical medical students perception about their educational environment based on DREEM at a Private University, Malaysia. *Bangladesh Journal of Medical Science*, 16(4), 496-504.
16. DOUSTJALALI, SAEID REZA, et al. "Correlation between body mass index (BMI) & waist to hip ratio (WHR) among primary school students." *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* 12.3 (2020).
17. Nazmul, M. H. M., Salmah, I., Jamal, H., & Ansary, A. (2007). Detection and molecular characterization of verotoxin gene in non-O157 diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from Miri hospital, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Biomedical Research*, 18(1), 39-43.
18. Selvaganapathi, G., et al. "Knowledge and practice on tuberculosis among prison workers from Seremban Prison." *Occupational Diseases and Environmental Medicine* 7.4 (2019): 176-186.
19. Khan, Md Fazlul K., et al. "Detection of ESBL and MBL in *Acinetobacter* spp. and Their Plasmid Profile Analysis." *Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences* 12.3 (2019).
20. Foysal, Md Javed, et al. "Identification and assay of putative virulence properties of *Escherichia coli* gyrase subunit A and B among hospitalized UTI patients in Bangladesh." *Inov Pharm Pharmacother* 1.1 (2013): 54-59.
21. Hussaini, Jamal, Nurul Asyikin Othman, and Mahmood Ameen Abdulla. "Antiulcer and antibacterial evaluations of *Illicium verum* ethanolic fruits extract (IVEFE)." *Medical science* 2.8 (2013).
22. Nazmul, M., M. Fazlul, and M. Rashid. "Plasmid profile analysis of non-O157 diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* in Malaysia." *Indian Journal of Science* 1.2 (2012): 130-132.
23. Vijayakumar, K., Mohammad Nazmul Hasan Maziz, and Mathiyazhagan Narayanan. "Classification of Benign/Malignant Digital Mammogram Images using Deep Learning Scheme." *hospital* 4 (2025): 5.
24. Subramaniyan, V., Fuloria, S., Sekar, M., Shanmugavelu, S., Vijeepallam, K., Kumari, U., ... & Fuloria, N. K. (2023). Introduction to lung disease. In *Targeting Epigenetics in Inflammatory Lung Diseases* (pp. 1-16). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.