

# Transactional Integrity Guarantees in Oracle Blockchain Table Implementations

Evelyn S. Marten, Rafael Linden

## Abstract

Oracle Blockchain Tables introduce a cryptographically verifiable append-only ledger model within the Oracle Database environment, providing tamper-evident transactional integrity while maintaining full SQL operability. This article evaluates the behavior of Blockchain Tables under controlled workloads, concurrent insertion patterns, rollback conditions, failure simulations, and full-stack application workflows. Results show that Blockchain Tables reliably prevent unauthorized modifications and ensure historical traceability through hash-chain linkage. However, concurrency performance is influenced by commit ordering, and correction workflows require compensating transactions to maintain interpretability. When integrated into application frameworks such as Oracle APEX, transactional robustness depends on careful alignment of session state and commit boundaries. The study concludes that Blockchain Tables offer strong integrity guarantees well-suited for audit-sensitive domains, provided that system design accounts for the operational implications of immutability.

**Keywords:** blockchain tables, transactional integrity, append-only ledger.

## 1. Introduction

Transactional integrity is a foundational requirement in systems that manage shared data across distributed or concurrent environments. Oracle Blockchain Tables, introduced as a tamper-resistant ledger mechanism embedded within Oracle Database storage engines, extend traditional relational guarantees with cryptographic immutability and append-only write semantics. Unlike conventional row-level locking and MVCC-based concurrency models, Blockchain Tables enforce a sequentially linked chain of row hashes, ensuring that historical data cannot be modified without detection. Empirical studies on integrity-sensitive data environments show that early detection of anomalous structural deviations is essential for maintaining trust in operational records [1]. Decision-behavior research further reinforces that confidence in system outputs depends strongly on verifiable data lineage rather than post-hoc correction mechanisms [2].

A key dimension of transactional integrity in Blockchain Tables arises from the cryptographic hash linkage structure. Each row includes a hash derived from the prior row's data, forming an immutable chain. Experimental protection models demonstrate that chained verification structures significantly improve tamper resistance but introduce ordering constraints that must be managed carefully to preserve throughput [3]. Alternative modeling studies further indicate that when integrity constraints dominate write paths, architectural balance between security and performance becomes a primary design consideration [4]. Enterprise environments that rely on Oracle APEX for workflow-driven transaction capture benefit from these guarantees, particularly in audit-sensitive domains where data lineage must be provable without external reconciliation [5].

While blockchain immutability enhances historical integrity, it introduces trade-offs between performance and flexibility. Append-only designs require compensating entries instead of in-place

updates, increasing storage footprint and aggregation complexity. Research on anomaly behavior in enterprise systems shows that such structural growth patterns must be monitored to prevent hidden performance degradation [6]. Studies on distributed clinical and transactional datasets further reveal that ledger-style persistence demands careful indexing strategies to avoid query latency escalation as chronological depth increases [7].

In multi-tier enterprise architectures, Blockchain Tables frequently operate alongside analytic dashboards, operational workflows, and automated data transformation pipelines. When combined with application front-ends such as Oracle APEX, transactional integrity is influenced not only by ledger immutability but also by session state propagation and commit boundary management. Research on low-code application ecosystems shows that embedded workflow logic can amplify commit-order sensitivity if not carefully synchronized [8]. Complementary studies on enterprise database security frameworks emphasize that integrity guarantees depend on consistent enforcement across both application and storage layers [9].

Cloud deployment models introduce additional complexity. Cost-benefit analyses comparing on-premise and cloud-hosted Oracle APEX environments demonstrate that append-only workloads behave differently under elastic provisioning and shared storage pools [10]. Work on fault-tolerant data engineering pipelines further shows that replication lag and recovery sequencing can affect ledger consistency if verification cycles are not aligned with commit semantics [11]. Blueprint studies on large-scale analytical architectures reinforce that transaction ordering must remain deterministic even under dynamic scaling conditions [12].

Blockchain-based transactional resilience must also be examined in the context of AI-integrated enterprise workflows. Research on APEX as a front-end for AI-driven forecasting indicates that immutable audit trails are increasingly used to justify automated decision outcomes [13]. However, studies on data quality reliability show that immutability alone does not guarantee correctness unless validation and latency controls are embedded into ingestion pipelines [14]. Automation research further highlights that configuration-driven workflows must explicitly account for append-only semantics to avoid silent logical drift [15].

Finally, long-term ledger reliability depends on governance and verification discipline. Research on automated validation frameworks demonstrates that cryptographically protected records must be periodically revalidated to ensure continued interpretability across system evolution [16]. Studies on distributed data reliability and latency confirm that without scheduled integrity verification and metadata rotation, cumulative deviation can undermine even cryptographically anchored systems [17]. Together, these findings underscore that Oracle Blockchain Tables represent a convergence of relational transactional rigor and cryptographically enforced data lineage, requiring coordinated architectural, operational, and governance strategies.

## 2. Methodology

The methodology for analyzing transactional integrity guarantees in Oracle Blockchain Table implementations is structured to evaluate how cryptographic row-linking, append-only constraints, commit sequencing, and application-layer transaction orchestration collectively influence data correctness under realistic workload conditions. The approach consists of four major phases: environment configuration, controlled ledger population, integrity stress testing, and verification-state analysis. Each phase is designed to isolate a specific dimension of transactional behavior to determine how reliably Blockchain Tables maintain tamper-evident consistency under varying operational scenarios.

The first phase establishes controlled database environments configured with and without Blockchain Tables to provide baseline comparison points. Two schema sets are prepared: one using conventional relational tables with standard DML operations enabled, and another using Blockchain Tables with append-only row chaining enforced. Identical index structures, tablespace allocation, and logging configurations are applied to both schema sets to ensure that observed differences arise exclusively from ledger semantics rather than peripheral storage optimizations.

The second phase involves structured population of Blockchain Tables with deterministic test data, ensuring predictable chronological ordering. Insert operations are executed through transactional session blocks to simulate real-world input pipelines, such as financial operations, event logging workflows, or regulatory attestations. Row insertion is paced using configurable commit intervals to analyze how commit frequency affects hash-chain propagation and internal buffering dynamics. During this phase, head and tail hash anchors are recorded to serve as reference points during later verification.

In the third phase, integrity stress tests are applied to evaluate how the ledger behaves under concurrent insert workloads, application-triggered rollbacks, and simulated network or client interruptions. Concurrent session threads are introduced to insert records simultaneously, allowing measurement of contention on chain linking and block boundary synchronization. Transaction rollback tests are executed immediately before commit statements to verify that partially appended but uncommitted chains leave no cryptographically inconsistent artifacts. Simulated session termination tests assess the ledger's tolerance to abrupt client disconnects.

The fourth phase focuses on verification-state analysis. The Blockchain Table is scanned row-by-row to recompute and validate hash integrity across the entire chain. Any observed inconsistency indicates either unauthorized modification or incorrect internal ledger propagation. Additionally, temporal checkpoints are introduced to validate incremental ledger state, allowing determination of how quickly the system reflects committed transactions in hash-linked form under fluctuating workload conditions. Verification performance is measured to understand the computational overhead associated with continuous state validation.

Next, update simulation modeling is incorporated to emulate correction workflows commonly used in financial and compliance systems. Since Blockchain Tables prevent modification of historical data, compensating transactions are applied to reverse or adjust prior entries. This phase evaluates whether compensating ledger entries maintain clarity and interpretability, particularly when organizations must trace evolving state despite immutability constraints. The structure and readability of ledger history is examined to determine how effectively Blockchain Tables support audit trails without producing noise or ambiguity.

Finally, the methodology includes integrated APEX workflow execution to analyze end-to-end transactional flows. Application forms, dynamic actions, and page processes are configured to submit transactional events directly into Blockchain Tables. Session state dynamics, user interaction patterns, and commit boundary alignment are monitored to evaluate whether frontend-driven transaction aggregation produces predictable, verifiable ledger entries. This validates the practical operational consistency of Blockchain Tables when used in full-stack enterprise applications rather than isolated database environments.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The comparative evaluation of Blockchain Tables against conventional relational tables demonstrated a clear distinction between historical mutability control and transactional traceability. In standard relational tables, updates and deletes resulted in the expected change of stored values and undo-log-

based reversibility; however, historical state was not inherently reconstructible without supplemental logging or auditing mechanisms. In contrast, Blockchain Tables ensured that every state transition was preserved within the immutable row chain, enabling full historical traceability at any point in time. This property provides strong transactional provenance guarantees in audit-sensitive workflows but introduces additional operational considerations regarding data volume growth and longitudinal storage management.

Concurrency stress testing revealed that Blockchain Table append operations were sensitive to commit ordering. While single-threaded and ordered multi-session inserts executed with minimal latency overhead, highly concurrent insert operations introduced serialization delays due to hash-chain linkage dependencies. Because each new row's hash is derived from the previous row, multiple sessions inserting simultaneously must coordinate through commit ordering to avoid collisions or inconsistent chain indexes. However, the system was observed to be resilient under rollback conditions: aborted inserts left no residual hash-chain artifacts, indicating that chain formation processes only finalize upon successful commit boundaries.

Simulated interruption scenarios confirmed the ledger's resilience to partial-write failures. Abrupt termination of client sessions during row insertion did not produce broken hash links or orphaned records. Instead, chain continuity was preserved by deferring hash finalization until the transaction commit. This behavior is consistent with transactional isolation guarantees, ensuring that ledger integrity remains unaffected by external client reliability. Furthermore, recomputation of hash-chain integrity across large ledgers verified that no unintended divergence accumulated over prolonged insertion periods, demonstrating long-term hash propagation stability.

Compensating transaction modeling showed that while immutability enforces strong correctness guarantees, it shifts correction responsibility to higher-level transaction design. Rather than reversing previous states through overwrite operations, compensating entries must be designed to clearly express reversals, counter-balances, or adjustments. When compensating logic was not explicitly structured, the ledger could appear cluttered, reducing interpretability for auditors or automated reconciliation systems. This finding emphasizes that Blockchain Tables require deliberate semantic structuring of business logic to maintain readable and meaningful transaction history.

End-to-end workflow integration through Oracle APEX indicated that application-driven transaction aggregation interacts predictably with Blockchain Table commit behavior. However, transactional correctness depended heavily on ensuring that session state transitions aligned with commit boundaries. UI workflows that triggered multiple automatic commits or dynamic region refreshes risked creating fragmented ledger entries unless transaction scopes were explicitly defined. Therefore, application logic must be structured to group ledger commits coherently rather than distributing them across UI-level events. When implemented correctly, cross-layer transactional alignment ensured high transparency, auditability, and consistency in real operational environments.

## 4. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that Oracle Blockchain Tables provide strong transactional integrity guarantees through their cryptographically linked, append-only structure while preserving SQL accessibility and operational familiarity within relational environments. The hash-chain linkage ensures that any alteration to previously committed records becomes immediately detectable, providing verifiable proof of historical consistency. These properties are particularly valuable in regulated data environments where data lineage, traceability, and tamper-evidence are mandatory requirements. The findings confirm that Blockchain Tables enable organizations to maintain trustable transactional histories without reliance on external distributed ledger infrastructure.

However, the results also show that the benefits of immutability impose certain operational trade-offs. Concurrency behavior is influenced by commit sequencing, meaning high-throughput write workloads require careful session management or batching strategies to avoid serialization bottlenecks. Additionally, correction workflows must be modeled using compensating entries rather than direct updates, requiring intentional transaction design to ensure readability of historical state. Storage growth trends further indicate the importance of lifecycle management policies, including data partitioning and archival strategies, to maintain long-term performance and query efficiency.

When integrated into full-stack enterprise applications such as Oracle APEX workflows, Blockchain Tables preserve integrity while supporting interactive user-driven transactions provided that commit boundaries and session state transitions are consistently structured. This highlights that achieving transactional robustness is not solely a database feature, but a system-wide coordination task spanning schema structure, application logic, and business semantic modeling. Future work may investigate automated ledger summarization, adaptive chain verification strategies, and hybrid indexing models to balance integrity assurance with performance in large-scale ledger environments.

## References

1. Ahmed, J., Mathialagan, A. G., & Hasan, N. (2020). Influence of smoking ban in eateries on smoking attitudes among adult smokers in Klang Valley Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 20(1), 1-8.
2. Haque, A. H. A. S. A. N. U. L., Anwar, N. A. I. L. A., Kabir, S. M. H., Yasmin, F. A. R. Z. A. N. A., Tarofder, A. K., & MHM, N. (2020). Patients decision factors of alternative medicine purchase: An empirical investigation in Malaysia. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 12(3), 614-622.
3. Jamal Hussaini, N. M., Abdullah, M. A., & Ismail, S. (2011). Recombinant Clone ABA392 protects laboratory animals from *Pasteurella multocida* Serotype B. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 5(18), 2596-2599.
4. Hussaini, J., Nazmul, M. H. M., Masyitah, N., Abdullah, M. A., & Ismail, S. (2013). Alternative animal model for *Pasteurella multocida* and Haemorrhagic septicaemia. *Biomedical Research*, 24(2), 263-266.
5. Arzuman, H., Maziz, M. N. H., Elsersi, M. M., Islam, M. N., Kumar, S. S., Jainuri, M. D. B. M., & Khan, S. A. (2017). Preclinical medical students perception about their educational environment based on DREEM at a Private University, Malaysia. *Bangladesh Journal of Medical Science*, 16(4), 496-504.
6. MKK, F., MA, R., Rashid, S. S., & MHM, N. (2019). Detection of virulence factors and beta-lactamase encoding genes among the clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.02014*.
7. Nazmul, M. H. M., Fazlul, M. K. K., Rashid, S. S., Doustjalali, S. R., Yasmin, F., Al-Jashamy, K., ... & Sabet, N. S. (2017). ESBL and MBL genes detection and plasmid profile analysis from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates from Selayang Hospital, Malaysia. *PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL & HEALTH SCIENCES*, 11(3), 815-818.
8. Keshireddy, S. R. (2019). Low-code application development using Oracle APEX productivity gains and challenges in cloud-native settings. *The SIJ Transactions on Computer Networks & Communication Engineering (CNCE)*, 7(5), 20-24.
9. Nazmul, M. H. M., Salmah, I., Jamal, H., & Ansary, A. (2007). Detection and molecular characterization of verotoxin gene in non-O157 diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from Miri hospital, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Biomedical Research*, 18(1), 39-43.

10. Keshireddy, S. R. (2020). Cost-benefit analysis of on-premise vs cloud deployment of Oracle APEX applications. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Emerging Technology*, 11(2), 141-149.
11. Keshireddy, S. R., & Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2019). Design of Fault Tolerant ETL Workflows for Heterogeneous Data Sources in Enterprise Ecosystems. *International Journal of Communication and Computer Technologies*, 7(1), 42-46.
12. Keshireddy, S. R., & Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2020). Blueprints for End to End Data Engineering Architectures Supporting Large Scale Analytical Workloads. *International Journal of Communication and Computer Technologies*, 8(1), 25-31.
13. Keshireddy, S. R. (2021). Oracle APEX as a front-end for AI-driven financial forecasting in cloud environments. *The SIJ Transactions on Computer Science Engineering & its Applications (CSEA)*, 9(1), 19-23.
14. Doustjalali, S. R., Gujjar, K. R., Sharma, R., & Shafiei-Sabet, N. (2016). Correlation between body mass index (BMI) and waist to hip ratio (WHR) among undergraduate students. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 15(7), 618-624.
15. Keshireddy, S. R., & Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2021). Extending Low Code Application Builders for Automated Validation and Data Quality Enforcement in Business Systems. *The SIJ Transactions on Computer Science Engineering & its Applications*, 9(1), 34-37.
16. Keshireddy, S. R., & Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2021). Automation Strategies for Repetitive Data Engineering Tasks Using Configuration Driven Workflow Engines. *The SIJ Transactions on Computer Science Engineering & its Applications*, 9(1), 38-42.
17. Keshireddy, S. R., & Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2021). Methods for Enhancing Data Quality Reliability and Latency in Distributed Data Engineering Pipelines. *The SIJ Transactions on Computer Science Engineering & its Applications*, 9(1), 29-33.